

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -54)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 978 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-54 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	18-02299	County of Amador et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
GEORGIA MIDDLE			
GAM	7	18-00135	LANIER COUNTY GEORGIA v. PURDUE PHARMA LP et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	18-11855	Town of Falmouth v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN			
MSS	3	18-00584	Mercy House Teen Challenge v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN			
NCW	1	18-00237	Madison County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
NCW	1	18-00238	Yancey County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
OHIO SOUTHERN			
OHS	3	18-00293	Montgomery County Board of County Commissioners et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
OHS	3	18-00295	Montgomery County Board of County Commissioners et al v. Cardinal Health, Inc. et al Opposed 9/14/18
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	18-00820	The Board of County Commissioners of Garvin County, Oklahoma State of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 9/14/18

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 18-03637 DOE v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al Opposed 9/13/18

SOUTH CAROLINA

SC 3 18-02357 Lexington County of v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc et al Opposed 9/13/18

TEXAS NORTHERN

TXN 3 18-02270 Kaufman County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

VIRGINIA WESTERN

VAW	1	18-00028	Smyth County, Virginia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	1	18-00032	Russell County, Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	2	18-00020	Scott County Board of Supervisors v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	7	18-00307	Bland County, Virginia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	7	18-00308	Carroll County, Virginia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	7	18-00309	Grayson County, Virginia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	7	18-00343	Pulaski County Virginia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
VAW	7	18-00347	Wythe County, Virginia v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al